JPRS: 4299

4 January 1961

ANTI-RELIGIOUS PROPAGANDA IN THE USSR

(SELECTED TRANSLATIONS)



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

Photocopies of this report may be purchased from:

PHOTODUPLICATION SERVICE LIBRARY OF CONCRESS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

U. S. JOINT PUELICATIONS RESEARCH SERVICE 1636 CONNECTICUT AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

20000501 155

FOREWORD

This publication was prepared under contract by the UNITED STATES JOINT PUBLICATIONS RESEARCH SERVICE, a federal government organization established to service the translation and research needs of the various government departments.

OSTRIBUTOR SENTENCE S

JPRS: 4299

CSO: 1290-S

ANTI-RELIGIOUS PROPAGANDA IN THE USSR

[Following are translation of articles by various authors, selected from Nauka i Religiva (Science and Religion), No 11, Moscov, November 1960]

TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
How Man Learned to Speak	1
A Shameful Page in the History of the Church	7
In Search of the Vanished Garrison	12
Religion and Society	22

HOT MAN TUALONED TO SPEAK

(Following is the translation of an article entitled "Kak chelovek nanchilsya govorit" (English version above) by G. Pugach in Nauka i Religiya (Science and Religion), No. 11, Moscow, November 1960, pages 19-22.]

Several decades age the famous German physiologist Dubois-Reymon [transliterated from familiar] coined the pessimistic phrase that phenomena exist in the world that we "do not know and never shall know" (Ignoramus et ignorabimus!). He included the problem of

the origin of language.

This point of view was not new. It expresses the official position of the church. Religious people have always tried to prove that language is a creation of God, and that everything connected with the name of God is mysterious and unknowable. Their endeavor is wholly understandable: to explain how man learned to speak means to dispel the myth not only of the divine origin of language but also of the divine origin of man. For centuries religious people invented various theories on the origin of language and attempted to conceal the glaring contradictions of the Bible on that matter. At one time they claimed that each nation received its language separately from God: e.g., the Egyptians - from Tot, the Chaldeans - from Cannes, the Jews - from Yaliweh. Then they said that language was given by God through Adam and Eve and their numerous offspring. Finally, they declared that God gave men not language itself, but only the faculty of speech. This faculty supposedly was revealed whenever man named phenomena of his environment or communicated his thoughts to other men. Adam manifested this ability when he "named ... all the cattle and birds of the air and beasts of the field ..."

Bishop Grigóriy Nisskiy gave the same interpretation. He asserts that in addition to other faculties (the faculty to invent tools, build dwellings, etc.) God gave man the ability to speak and master language. He teaches that neither language itself nor words and their pronunciation is a divine gift. The existence of many languages does not depend on God. God placed in man the faculty of

language, the ability to name objects, phenomena, people, amimals, birds, etc.

Many scientists who adhere to idealistic philosophy share Grigory Nisskiy's views on the divine origin of language. Though the idea that God gave birth to the faculty of language is not directly and openly expressed in these theories, it is implied in figurative scientific arguments about the innateness of this ability; e.g., it is claimed that this faculty is an age-old attribute of the human soul. In his Origin of Language the German idealist philosopher Herder wrote that language is a product of the soul, and the soul a product of God. The faculty of language and thought is given to man so that he can know himself and his inner world. Language, in Herder's opinion, is "the secret agreement of the human soul with itself."

The origin of language is hidden in the dopths of time. However, archeology, ethnography, paleontology, and other sciences help to reproduce a picture of the evolution of man and of the primitive society of the age when language was formed. Though several details still are lacking, the facts at the disposal of science convincingly assert that there is no place for God in the creation of language.

According to the slible, man has existed only a little more than seven thousand years. Science has irrefutably proved that man's separation from the animal world began incomparably earlier — about a million years ago. Step by step scientists have investigated and reproduced a picture of the process of humanization of the highly-developed age. They have shown how this age descended from the trees onto the earth, how it learned to walk on its two extremities and use tools.

Upright gait exerted a great influence on all further evolution of man, for it straightened the lungs. This, in turn, helped to adapt the larynx to the pronunciation of a great variety of sounds. No animal can utter as many sounds as man. Upright gait enabled the ane to use its forward extremities differently. Previously they served chiefly for movement; now this was no longer necessary. could now be used to make simple tools like flint knives and stone axes. The invention of tools introduced human labor, which was to play a decisive role in the transformation of ape into man. The labor in which primitive man began to engage subsequently had a tremendous reciprocal influence on all his further evolution. Under the influence of labor the operation of the brain because more complex, its weight increased, the forward extremities were transformed into hands, and the implements into accessory organs of the body. Marx wrote in Capital that ever since han started to use tools every natural object has become an organ that man "... unites with the organs of his body, thereby lengthening, contrary to the hible, the natural dimensions of the latter." (Kapital [Capital], vol. 1, p. 186.) The main result of human labor was the origin of language, of human

thought, and, finally, of human society.

In his teaching on the higher nervous activity of animals and man, Academician Iván Petróvich Pávlov definitively dispelled the myth of the divine origin of language. He demonstrated that man learned to speak as a result of common laber, that labor and language have always played an enormous role in the evolution of man and society, and that work and word, as he said vividly, made us human beings.

Pávlov devised the theory of "signal systems of reality," which clearly proved the natural origin of language. The essence of this teaching is the assertion that the conditioned reflexes of man and animals originated on the basis of the signal system of surrounding reality. Any irritant is essentially a signal of the various objective relationships of reality; e.g., the smell of laurning reaches animals or men, they see puffs of smoke, fire, starks, etc. These irritants signalize to them a definite system of objective relationships in the outside world (in this case, a fire). These relationships are made firm in their brain by a definite physiological system of nerve connections. If in addition the animal or man in one way or another has suffered from fire, the system of nervous communication becomes even more intricate. The next time an irritant (e.g., smoke) is rereceived, it will be regarded as a signal of danger.

The discovery of the meaning of these signals is a matter of analysis and synthesis, or thought, and the response is the phenomenon called behavior. Pavlov suggested that the signaling of objective relationships by the sight, color, and odor of the objects be called the first signal system of reality, or the system of object—thought. This first signal system is the basis of animal behavior.

Labor in common and social life produced in man many entirely new system relationships that could in no way be signalized by objects and their characteristics or simply by shouting, weeping, or growning. It was perfectly natural that, as Pavlov says, an "addition" to the higher nervous activity should be made. The first (object) signals were generalized and replaced by special sound signals. These sounds were different from mere shouts and growns. The straightening of the lungs owing to upright gait and the development of the brain (increase of its weight, of the area of the cortex) furthered this. The tongue, lips, and larynx began to develop and adapt themselves, and, as Pavlov pointed out, became an integral component of human thought. Man could now replace sensations and perceptions, i.e., object signals, with a speech sound, i.e., word. New signals of signals could designated objective relationships of the outside world abstracted from concrete objects.

Thus originated the specifically human "addition" to the higher nervous activity of men. Pavlov called it the second signal system of reality. He stated: "This addition concerns the speech function, which introduced a new principle into the activity of the great hemispheres." (Polacye sobraniye sochineniy (Complete Norks), vol. 3.

book 2 (Moscow-Leningrad: USDR Academy of Sciences, 1951), p. 232.)
The signals of signals "... represent abstraction from reality and permit generalization, which constitutes our superfluous (in the sense of addition — G. Pugách), especially human higher thought process; thought gives rise first to general expiricism, and finally to science — the means of highest orientation of man in the surrounding world

and in himself." (ibid., pp. 232-233.)

Pavlov showed that animals have only the first signal system, while man has both. This gives him a tremendous advantage over animals. Because of the joint activity of the two signal systems man can directly perceive the world and penetrate the most profound relationships it imparts to him. He can discover the laws of the surrounding world, master the forces of nature, and become the master of reality. Pávlov says: "Man experiences reality primarily through the first signal system; then he becomes master of reality through the second signal system (word, speech, scientific thought)." (Pavlovskiye sredy (Pávlov Environments), vol. 1, (Moscow-Leningrad: USSR Academy of Sciences, 1949), p. 239.) Pávlov's teaching definitively refutes Biblical and ecclesiastical fictions about the devine origin of language.

There are no dates for the origin of language, no day, no year, even no century for its birth. The formation of language was an extremely protracted process that embraced a very long period of time. But we can state confidently that language a peared earlier than man began to use fire and domesticate animals.

Language and thought appeared together and have always been intimately related. They cannot exist separately. As tools became more complicated, thought and language were developed and euriched. All that men saw, heard, and discovered was reflected and made firm in language. Scientists still dispute about the nature of language in the initial period of its development. Was it phonetic speech or did men use gestures for communication, i.e., movements of the body,

hands, and eyes?

Some scholars, both Soviet (Academician Marr) and foreign (Van Gennecken) [latter transliterated from Mussian] supposed that language was an instrument of magic and for a long time the exclusive property of witch-doctors. According to the opinion of scientists, the language of gestures, which served as the means of communication of primitive people, was the colloquial language. In defense of their theory, they refer to the use of gestures by African, American, and Australian tribes. Some tribes use gestures to this day. A man from the Australian tribe of Dieri can designate the following by a gesture: man, woman, child, various kinds of animals, sky, earth, walking, food, drink, etc. Without pronouncing a single word, these tribesmen can make themselves understood. In our country until the Revolution it was customary among some peoples of the Transcancasus for the daughter-in-law to converse with her mother-in-law only by gestures.

These facts still do not prove that gostures can constitute an independent language. The language of gostures is only a supplement to phonetic language. The thought and multifarious activity of man are based on the language of words; gostures never were and never can be a medium of universal communication because of their limitations and primitiveness. It is very difficult to use gostures at night, and it is completely impossible to convey more or less complex generalizations with them. Gostures can communicate thought only through phonetic language. Consequently, gostures could never be a basic means of communication; phonetic language was always that.

Science has proved that human speech was initially not articulate. The low state of development of man's speech and motor apparatus explains this. The ideas of primitive people were diffuse, vague, and indistinct; consequently, the initial sound expressions of these ideas were just as indistinct and indefinite. Considerably later, after hundreds and thousands of years, when the brain and speech organs were sufficiently developed, man began to express his thoughts not by one continuous sound, but by several sound combinations. The progressive use of tools and more frequent communication with fellow men increased the number of sound combinations; men had to name new implements, phenomena, and impressions.

At first men informed each other of ideas concerning tools and labor; subsequently the sphere of language application was extended immeasurably. Han become able to relate events in which he was not directly involved and did not participate. He could now trelate a story heard from another person. Speech became more and more articulate. Articulate speech was a later invention of man. Complex grammatical forms and written language are products of comparatively recent millenia.

How did the phonetic shell of language originate? Can one see "divine design" in the fact that, let us say, fire is called fire, man — man, and a table — a table? People constantly came in contact with various objects and phenomena, and each phenomenon caused various feelings in them: pain, fear, joy, etc. Each of these feelings, in turn, produced a definite cry. To tell his fellows about a breadtree he discovered, a primitive man uttered one sound; if he noticed a burning abode, he shouted in a completely different way.

But individual sounds or combinations of sounds expressing immediate sentiments were not yet language. Association of sounds with objects and signalization by sounds exist also among animals. Only when sound-signals express abstract objective relationships do they become language.

with the passage of time sounds and words began to reproduce definite ideas corresponding to these sounds. The cry "fire" evoked the picture of a burning but among the tribesmen who heard it. In this way the sound-combination "fire" was associated with a definite object or phenomenon and fixed in the mind.

The association of sound-combinations with objects originated also through onomatopoeia. When a person says that it is thundering, the grass is swishing, the leaves are rustling, the very words—thunder, swish, rustle—transmit the sounds and noises of nature. Many words originated as crys smitted by animals and birds. Why does the cuckoo have almost the same name among various peoples? Why do we say: a rooster crows (kukarckovat'), a cow moos (mychit'), a cat miaows (myankat')? Because these words originate in the cries of the corresponding animals.

Considerably later man began to name objects by utilizing already accumulated vocabulary. When he encountered a previously unknown object, he gave it the name of some known object; he took the name of an object which, in his opinion, was the same as or similar to the new one. For example, from the family of domesticated animals the Tahiti Islanders knew only the pig; when a horse was first brought to them, they called it a pig too. When North American Indians saw a horse for the first time, they called it a dog, since dogs were their only domestic animals. They merely added new particles to the word meaning horse-dog.

In the initial period of language evolution there were no words for more or less broad abstract concepts, for man's practical experience was still very limited and extensive social ties did not exist. Man was aware of and designated only what he saw, heard, touched, or smelled, i.e., could directly experience. He could not yet make broad generalizations. Scientists have established, for example, that some peoples are acquainted with various kinds of trees, birds, and fish and have names for each of them. But they do not have words for general concepts like tree, bird, or fish. A Morth American Indian tribe, the Hurons, does nothave a word for eat (in the sense to use food). They have different words for eating fish, meat, etc.

The primitive character of the language of primitive men once again eloquently bears witness to the complete inadequacy of religious doctrines on the divine origin of language. As science shows, language originated historically and has always undergone change under the influence of social conditions. It is a medium of social evolution and the best means of communication among human beings.

Once we know how language and thought criginated, we become convinced how inadequate are the fictions of religious people and idealist scientists who claim that we "never shall know the origin of language." The inquisitive human mind was able to fathom the secret of the origin and evolution of language. Science has proved that the evolution of society in accordance with the relative complication of labor has always produced changes in language.

A S AMERUL PAGE IN THE HISTORY OF THE CHURCH (On the 150th Anniversary of the Latin American War for Independence)

[Following is the translation of an article entitled, "Pozornaya stranitsa istorii tserkvi" (English version above) by I. Lavretskiy in <u>Nanka i Religiva</u> (Science and Religion), No. 11, Moscow, November 1980, pages 42-45.]

The population of the Spanish colonies in America revolted against the colonizers 150 years ago. The war for the independence of Spanish America lasted fifteen years and ended with the victory of the pairiots and the formation of the present Latin American republics.

The liberation of Latin America from Spanish colonial oppression was an event of great progressive significance. Many progressive people of thebeginning of the nineteenth century, including Bussian volunteers, took part in the war for independence on the side of the patriots. The Decembrists and Pushkin regarded the colonies' struggle for liberation with great sympathy.

The Catholic Church was a principal accomplice of the colonizers in their struggle against the South American patriots. The clurch had immense influence in the colonies. The whole spiritual life of the colonies (press, schools, universities) was under its control. Tribunals of the Inquisition dealt with the heterodox. At the beginning of the war for independence over a third of all the cultivated land was in the church's hands. The church helped the colonizers to enslave and exploit the local population, and actively participated in the robbery. True, some clericals like Las Casas exposed and preached against the bestialities and cruelties of the conquistadores (i.e., conquerors). But these were isolated cases; their preaching was "the voice of one crying in the desert," and they did not exert any mitigating influence on the conduct of the colonizers and of the clergy as a whole. To present Cas Casas' preaching as typical of the clergy's relationship to the conquista, as some church apologists try to do now, is as absurd as to consider Campanella or Giordano Bruno typical representatives of the clergy solely because they were monks.

At first almost the entire clergy in the colonies consisted of Spaniards. By the end of the colonial period some natives - Creoles

and mestizos — were admitted to a spiritual career. The Spanish regarded them as representatives of an inferior race, and the Creoles considered the Spanish invaders. The Creoles led the movement for independence, which included some individual priests. One of them, the Creole Hidalgo, started the anti-Spanish uprising in Mexico.

All of Hidalgo's activity before the uprising showed that this priest paid little attention to ecclesiastical laws. He was an adherent of the French Enlightenment. He organized theatrical presentations for his parishioners, showing them works like Molière's Tartuffe.

The Inquisition had hidalgo under surveillance. In 1808 a woman named Herrera denounced him to the Inquisition: by order of her confessor she told the Inquisition that Hidalgo denied the divinity of Christ and expressed other "free-thinking" ideas. The revolt he started on the night of 16 September 1810 prevented his arrest. Another confessor's treachery was involved in that: the patriots were forced to proceed before the scheduled time because a priest who had found out about the preparations for the uprising betrayed the patriots to the authorities.

The church hierarchy was immediately up in arms against the revolt. On 24 September Bishop Manuel Abad y Queipo [transliterated from Bussian] published an edict which proclaimed Hidalgo an atheist and perjurer and excommunicated him. Archbishop Mejico announced that Hidalgo was an envey of antichrist. The Inquisition tribunal pronounced him an atheist, famatic of French freedom, schismatic, heretic, Judaizing Lutheran, Calvinist, and "under extreme suspicion for atheism and materialism."

The revolt started by Hidalgo spread rapidly. Tens of thousands of Indians joined it and many Creoles supported it. But the lack of a clear program, indecision, and poor military organization eventually led the revolt into defeat. Hidalgo was taken prisoner by the Spanish and turned over to the Inquisition tribunal, which defrocked and anathematized the rebel leader. The Spanish authorities had him shot.

The mestize José María Moreles, also a priest and Midalgo's disciple, became the leader of the anti-Spanish revolt. The French clerical historian André calls him "a sinister priest who revolted against ecclesiastical authority."

The clericals persecuted Morelos as cruelly as they had persecuted his predecessor. On 2 November 1815 Morelos was taken prisoner by the Spanish and turned over to the Inquisition court. In the verdict Morelos was declared "a heretic and propagator of heresy, an oppressor and persecutor of ecclesiastical authorities, a desecrator of the sacraments, schismatic, libertine, hypocrite, incorrigible foe of Christ, adherent of the heretics Hobbes, Helvetius, Voltaire, Luther, and similar leprous authors, materialists, and atheists, a traitor to God, king, and pape." On the basis of that decision a military tribunal sentenced Morelos to be shot. The sentence was immediately carried out.

In Venezuela Simón Bolívar, a follower of Rousseau and Voltaire,

was leader of the liberation movement. The patriots defeated the Spanish and in 1811 proclaimed Venezuela's independence.

On Holy Thursday, 26 March 1812, a great earthquake occurred in Venezuela. The capital and many towns were destroyed, and tens of thousands of their inhobitants perished under the falling buildings. The supporters of the Spanish — an especially, of course, the clergy — utilized the calcaity for their own purposes. Belivar's adjutant O'Leary, a contemporary witness of events, wrote the following about this event:

Unfortunately for the cause of independence, the elergy, using its great influence in Venezuela, took a hostile attitude toward the revolution. It pretended that the horrible catastrophe that had befalten the country was the scourge of divine previdence to punish the rebels. The clergy advocated the most subversive doctrines and summoned heaven to send down new punishments on those who did not show immediate contrition and refused to recognize the justice of divine vengeance. The occurrence of the revolution and carthquake on Poly Thursday was malevolently presented as proof that the Absighty chose that day for the manifestation of his wrath.

The government of the republic demanded that the local archbishop Col y Prata publish a message to the faithful in which he would explain that the earthquake was an ordinary natural phenomenon like rain, hail, or lightning. The archbishop replied to the government that he "was well aware that rain, hail, lightning, and earthquakes are an effect of natural causes."—e was also aware, however, that "the sovereign Creator of nature can use natural forces to punish sinners." The archbishop was at one with the colonizers. The government ordered that he be arrested and exiled from the country.

The S anish authorities attached great significance to the counterrevolutionary activity of the elevicals. Morillo, the commander of Spanish troops in Venezuela, urgently demanded the Spanish government to send him elevicals for use as propagandists in the colonizers' service. On 20 September 1818 Morillo wrote to the Spanish minister of war: "I do not hesitate to declare to Your Excellency that forty or fifty manks and a similar number of priests for parachial duties will have a greater influence on public opinion and more hasten the pacification of these countries than a whole division of picked troops ..."

The clericals specially hoted the patriot leader Solivar. Bolivar said to his adjutant de Lecreix:

I camed remember without laughing how they excommunicated me and my whole amy from the church. On 3 December 1314 the priests key and Dukesne (transliterated from Dussian), who governed the anchdiocese of Bogota, issued an edict in which they asserted that I intended to despoil churches, persecute priests, extirpate religion, rape virgins, cut

the throats of men and children. These accusations were publicly withdrawn in another edict, in which I was presented not as an atheist and heretic, as in the first edict, but as a good and faithful Catholic! What a stupid farce and what a lesson for the nations! Nine or ten days separated these two edicts. The first was issued because I was advancing on Bogotá by order of the Congress, and the second because I had entered the capital victorious. Our priests still hold to their former ideas, but the result of their excommunications has been reduced to nothing. Continuing to rain down thunder and lightning on their opponents, they only place themselves in a foolish position, show their impotence, and increase daily the scorn they deserve.

In the viceroyalty of La Plata (now Ergentina), which became the center of the liberation movement in the south of the continent, the clerical attitude to the struggle for independence was just as negative as in other regions of the Spanish colonial empire. During the fifteen-year war for independence the Vatican consistently supported the colonizers.

In 1816 Pope Pius VII issued an encyclical calling for a struggle against the patriots. It was addressed to the hierarchy and clergy of America, "who are under the rule of the Catholic king of Spain." The encyclical stated: "One of Our most excellent and fundamental commandments prescribes obedience to high authorities. We do not doubt that you have constantly instilled in your flock a just and firm hatred for the revolutions that have taken place in the countries so depressing to Our heart." Pope Pius VII urged the bishops and clergy "not to spare exertions to extirpate and exterminate completely the permicious tangle of mutinies and revolts sown by enemies in these countries." Priests would attain this, the pope assured, if each of them of the zealously remindihis sheep of the horrible and grave consequences of revolt and of the extraordinary and excellent virtues "of Our beloved son in Christ, Ferdinand, Our Catholic king, for whom the religion and welfare of his subjects are the supreme consideration." In conclusion, the pope promised heavenly rewards if the clergy would recommend loyalty and obedience to the Spanish monarch.

On 24 September 1824 Pope heo XIII [sic -actually, heo XII] issued a new encyclical condemning the "mutineers." He wrote:

We only grieve when We observe the fury and dissipation of the evildoers, when We notice the spread by inflammatory books and brochures of an infection in which ecclesiastical and secular rule are disparaged, despised, and presented as odious, and, finally, when We see how juntas crawl out of a dark pit like locusts: these juntas are formed under the cover of darkness and, like an evilsmelling sewer, contain all that has ever been blasphemous and sacrilegious in heretical sects.

In 1826 the Columbian government sent Tejada to Rome to obtain papal recognition of the republic. By order of the ecclesiastical authorities he was deported from Rome. In 1827 the pape, fearing the Tornation of a church in Columbia independent of the Vatican, appointed bishops in the republic. However, he hastened to assure Ferdinand VII that his action was prompted by the interests of both church and king, for "religious ties are the only thing that can induce unsettled subjects to obey you."

Only in 1835, i.e., twenty-five years after the beginning of the liberation movement and ten years after the expulsion of the Spanish from the colonies, did the papacy (under Gregory MVI) grant recognition to the Latin American republics; one of the reasons that compelled the papal see to take this step was the hope of receiving new revenues from the overseas republics.

Even after the recognition of the Latin American republics the church continued to rely on the remaining colonizers, landowners, plantation-owners, compradores, and other reactionary elements. It

inspired them to fight against progressive forces.

imperialists — the worst enemies of the Latin American peoples. In Guatermala the church hierarchy opposed the democratic government of Arbenz and participated in its overthrow. The clericals are conducting a carpaign of slander against the achievements of the Cuban revolution. Tutside Cuba they accuse Fidel Castro's government of communism, and in Cuba they participate in counterrevolutionary plots. Now, as 150 years ago, on the Vatican's orders the Catholic Church is defending the interests of the colonizers and opposing the genuine political and economic independence of the Latin American peoples.

Caption of photograph on page 43:]

"Executioners and betrayers." This painting by the famous Mexican artist Diego Rivera exposes Guatemala's op ressors: John Foster Dulles shakes the hand of Armas, the executioner of the Guatemalam people. On the right is Dishop Rossel.

[Caption of photograph on page 44:]

The clergy blesses the execution of Hidalgo and his associates. Rineteenth-century painting.

[Caption of photograph on page 45:]

The cage in which Hidalgo's head was exhibited for ten years.

IN SOLUCI OF THE VALISHED CARRISON

[Following is the translation of an article entitled, "To sledam ischezmyshego marnizona" (Inglish version above) by Vladimir Bolyayev in Nanka i Religiva (Science and Religion), No. 11, Moscow, November 1960, pages 62-67.]

"... Young Neapolitan!
That did you leave on a Dussian field?
Thy could you not be happy
On your famous native bay?"
H. Svetlóv

In 1944, soon after Soviet troops liberated L'vov, I found out about the marder of the Italian parrison by the littlerites. Then in June of last year I related this tragedy on the pages of the <u>Literary Eazette</u> in an article entitled "Thy lid They Not Beturn?", Signor Luigi leda, the Italian representative on the United Nations Commission for Trisoner-of-Lar Iffairs, rejected the evidence I had introduced. To get new facts I then appealed through Tolish newspapers to witnesses of that sinister crime.

The first to respond was Iva Marchak, who now lives in Marsaw. She informed so that the Mitherites mardered Italians not only in L'vov, but also in the village of Filmlichi, near Peremyshl'. Though this village is now located beyond the borders of the Soviet Union in the Polish People's Republic, many of its Ukrainian inhabitants moved to Soviet territory right after the war and are Living presently in L'vov, Stanislav, Terropol', and other towns of the western Ukraine. I asked Mevstafiy Shamelda, an agronomist and gardener with whom I am acquainted, to look for former residents of Pikulichi in Stanislav. The search was successful. Shamelda, incidentally, was a schoolmate of the famus Ukrainian writer Maroslav Jalan, who was killed by followers of Dandera, i.e., agents of the Vatican.

In Stanislav Lives Anton Lurchevskiy, whose wife worked as a cleaning-worm in the PTE cap in the village of Fikulichi. The Kit-lerites originally established this case for Soviet prisoners of war;

later they removed them to an unknown destination and unexpectedly imprisoned Italians in the unoccupied camp. The Italians were nainly officers, and their uniforms were not yet wern out. They all were clothed well, not in the comp fashion. The neighboring villagers were categorically forbidden to give them fand. This order made it clear that the litlerites, following their favorite custom, intended to starve the recalcitrant Italians. Many Italians were compelled to eat grass to stay alive.

An emediated Italian officer requested Eurobevskiy's wife in broken Folish to bring him something to est; he warned her about the guards. They agreed that Eurobevskiy's wife should leave whatever food she brought in the latrine. But the plan was never but into effect. When him. Eurobevskiy brought food into the camp the Italians were already shot...

Micholas Pokhila, a native of Pikulichi, new lives in the town of Stanislav. His hut was located near the POW camp. Richolas Pokhila also claims that all the Italians were bestially murdered behind the camp's barbed wire.

Record Zimmermann, a former immate of the Livov ghotto, who was saved by a miracle and is now working at the <u>Ratushova</u> restaurant in the Polish city of Wrockew, sent me a letter containing the following information:

A so-called Zwangsarheitslager (forced labor emp)was located at the end of Vanovskaya Street in the Livov area. Behind that camp, in a deep hollow, later named "the valley of death," the Comms regularly limitated Jows. After Larshal Cadeglio's expitalation the Italian garrison was brought there, to the "valley of death." The Italians were ordered to stock aims and march away about a hundred paces. There were about two thousand Italians. The SS brought them. When all the Italians were shot, the infamons Sonderkownando 1005, which disposed of the corpses of Mitlerite victims, began its work. Unterscharführer Uhlmann, the notorious Mitterite hamman, directed the murder of the remaining Italians. The Italians died resignedly without showing any signs of mutiny In the same "valley of death" the Cornans killed a group of patients from hospitals for political prisoners evacuated from Proskurov and a group of lunatics. Their blood mixed with that of the sons of Italy on the bottom of the "valley of death."

We too saw the traces of the red blood that had soaked the bottom of the "valley of death" a good meter deep and still colored the sandy soil in September 1944. I remember that we arrived there with writer Taroslav Galam and master of ceremonies lichael Garkavi on a gloomy autum day. We stood a long time in silence on the slopes of the "valey of death." But we still did not know that sons of sunny Italy, which gave the world Garibaldi and Michelangelo, Giordano Brune and Leonardo da Vinci, had also been surdered there.

To this day a house stands unnoticed on the corner of Ushakov (formerly Yatsk) Street in L'vov. The house can be seen well from the windows of the trolley bus that passes on Shot Bustaveli Street. This house is directly related to the secret of the vanished Italian garrison. Cheslav Sukhovirskiy, and accidental acquaintance of nine who now resides in the Polish city of Sosnovets, at 23 Alleya Pobedy (Victory Foulevard), has helped to lift that secret's veil.

In 1942 the Mitlerites seized Sukhovirskiy during a round-up in Busk and deported him to Germany for forced labor. The sixteen-year-old boy escaped while his train was delayed in L'vov. Cheslav had an aunt in L'vov, at 24 Shot Austaveli Street. She hid the fugitive, and shortly thereafter the boy's parents arrived from Busk. There was hunger in L'vov, and Cheslav went to work for forty zloty a month as a postal apprentice to support his family. Thile delivering mail he met the Italians quartered in Metropolitan Sheptitskiy's palace on Zelënaya (Green) Street and in the house on the corner of Yatsk Street, which was close to Cheslav's appartment. Lest he die of hunger, the boy began to trade with the Italians. For German marks he bought cigarettes and wine from them. In the bargain he got porous soldiers' biscuits and macaroni, which he are on the way to Prus Square. There he seld the wine and eigarettes on the black market.

Here is Cheslav Bukhovirskiy's sad testimony:

We lived on that, somehow, day and night - a d the time passed. The hunger was terrible, and everyone helped himself as best he could! The Italians from Yatsk Street did not drive the children away and gave them as such food as they could spare; they even allowed children to enter the buildings in which they were quartered. They were very religious: they would often exclaim: "Santa Madonna!" I still remember some Italian words. I remember well that two little lastian boys lived with them. Later one of them was saved by a Missian woman named Mosokova, who lived on Satory (now Vatutin) Street. I think that one day, when I visited a Mussian friend there, I saw Captain "Paul Siebert," i.e., the legendary Micholas Muznetsov, who caused so such trouble to the Cermans in L'vov and elsewhere. The second Russian boy, whose name I forget, was deported with the Italians to the concentration camp.

The Italian mutiny broke out in the house on the hillock on the corner of Yatsk Street. On that day no foreigner visited them in their quarters. One of the Italians was killed then by an S3-man. If they have not been painted over, the bullet-holes should still be in the hall. At night, as the littlerites transported the Italians away from Yatsk Street, I approached the building. An officer care out and called me and another boy isside the house.

Le tald us to help an Italian soldier, a shoulder, to Tind the way to Zelbnaya Street. Then we saw bullet-holes on the wall in the hall on the ground floor and bloodstains on the floor. The portraits of Mitler and Dussolink were still on the wall, but sweared with ink and black paint. We asked the Italian officer who had called us where the Italian soldiers we knew had gone. He reblied that they had departed for Italy. But the soldiershoemaker began to cry on the way to Zelenaya Street and said that that was a lie, for they all were in a concentration camp. He gave me a note written in Italian. from the text I could make out: "holp, the Cermans are Billing us!" the soldier sobbed like a little child, and the officer after ands best him uncercifully for talking with us. Soon the people in L'vov learned that it was true that the Italians had been shot. I get that officer later on the street; he was wearing a German uniform.

dominalist Yatsek Vil'chur of Warsaw, formerly of L'vov, offers

the following testimony:

The history of the amrder of the Italian soldiers in Livoy began even before the tragic seasor of 1942. On the might of 4-5 April 1942 several Italians were brought to the building at 15 Salitskaya (Balician) Equare, where the administration of the criminal police (Kripo) and Sicherheitsdienst (3) - security service) was located. The Hitlerites, heating them unmercilessly with riflebutts, forced the unfortunate Italians to strip to their underwear and then herded them into a vehicle. The police vehicle took the prisoners and their executioners to the Jewish cemetery on Manovskaya Street. It the intersection of Tomovskaya and Felikhovskaya Streets the soldiers were ordered to get out. The guards led them to the valley bordering on Meparovskiy Grove. Ifter the doomed Italians had dus their grave, they were ordered to stand with their backs to the 33-wen, who raised their submachine guns. A few volleys ended the lives of flitter's former "allies." On the following night the Germans returned, disinterred the bodies, and took then to an unknown destination.

We have further information on the murder of the Italians in Livov — the relude to the extensination of the whole garrison in the following year, after the Italian catastrophe. As our search for new witnesses progressed, it because evident that the Italian trajedy was not limited to Livov with its sandy ravines and hills. From distant Jerusalem the staff of Yad Vashem, the Institute for the Perpetuation of the Jenory of the Victims of Jaseisa, read our appeal in the Polish press and sent us the certified statement of František (Ephreim) Vlokh. His address: Israel, 24 Ibue Kholot, Apartment 2. He has new information on the Italians who vanished on the fields of the Soviet Union:

I know personally that military trains from Astria, liangary, magain, Greece, and Yugoslavia passed through Bava-Amschaya. The infamous Belzets extermination camp was then located not far from Lava-Amschaya. It the beginning of 1944 some Italian officers arrived in Belzets with a trainload of Jews and were mardered. The Termans had interned them and three Italian Amy regiments in the winter of 1943-44. They were interned when the Berlin-Rome axis started to crack. Then the axis broke a few months later, the Germans killed the officers in delzets and transported the enlisted men on a few trains to the south. I do not know these soldiers' subsequent fate. Judging from the unanimity of the Italians' hostility to the Termans, their fate must have been sad.

During their stay in Belzets before their death the Italians were very humane in their relations with the Jews. This caused frequent fights between Italians and

deragus.

The Italians were interned with all their military year. Since the Sermus did not bother to supply their for or allies with provisions, the Italians had to obtain subsistence as best they could. They sold their pistols to members of the Jewish underground, the formation of which had began in the "reduced" thetto.

I also know that in Belzets a group of Yuguslav partisans were excepted. I saw their train as it cased through lava- usskaya and heard the sworthy men in cilitary andform cry lut that they were Jugeslav partisans.

That was at the end of 1940.

Mis means that Italians were exterminated in Belzets too! We are very well acquainted with that herrible extensination camp, which was as bad as Treblicka, maschwitz, and Maidanek. Passing through lava-usakaya and the velicing forests near Grebennaya, Ivan Mornelov, then public prosecutor of Liver oblant', and I arrived in Belzets on an saturn day in Detober 1944. Edzets was a railroad junction, whomee trains deported for Lublin, "arsaw, lave-lusekeya, and Tarostav. The new stationmaster, Ignatius lazur, an employee of the transportation service during the devolution, told us that an So homeando and the first group of prisoners arrived in delects in the autumn of 1941. Lout 400 meters from the station, where the reserve gur-tracks ended and a ridge of sandy hills covered with trees began, the prisoners started to build the comp. They surrounded the case with a high cound of sand and transplicated trees on the top of the wound. I dense wall of young firs made it impossible to look inside the damp from far or near. The sound was interrupted only where the sour-lines entered the center of the comp. igh gates blocked the entrance. The jetes were interwoven with frequently changing fir branches. Then a train entered the case area, the getes

were immediately closed. Three barracus were built near the cates for the Gestape bengaen and camp guards.

In the spring of 1942 trains from various points of origin begon to arrive in prizets. If one prisoner cought sight of the station-name "Belzets" through the bare of the window, it was enough to cause growing and weeping in all the cars.

All prisoners were ordered to undress and were herded naked into a big one-storey bailding reminiscent of a bathbouse. There the unfortunates were killed by gas. Evelve winutes sufficed to kill 750 people in the chamber. Then the corpses were buried in immense sand pits — but not for long.

Just before the winter of 1942 three enomous bonfires were lit in the case area. They were not extinguished all winter and burned until the last summer of the occupation. Their flaves were visible for several dozen kilometers. We called them the "eternal fires of lettets," or, in Polish, zoiczy. From that time on the smell of burnt flesh mingled with the horrible stench of death that had heretofore bothered the inhabitants of the neighboring villages. Ignatius lazur asserted that, in his opinion, no one who landed in Polzets left there alive. Veryone brought into the easy had one road ahead: onto the bonfire! But hazur was mistaken.

I succeeded in finding in L'vov that outurn an elderly scapmatter asked laber leder, who had mirroulously escaped from the camp. the guards' hitchen in the comp was in need of repair. Reder suggested that he look for the deficient white tin casing his friends in hivov. Carri commandant Frank Indano granted permission and sent deder under heavy guard by vehicle to hivev. Soon after their arrival in Livov the Germans apparently became convinced that four officers and an enlisted man were too heavy a guard for the dixty-year-old Reder. They left a Gesta oman in the vehicle to guerd Heder and went to eat. The Gestapoman was tired from the schnapps he had drunk and the sun and soon dezed off. laben Reder quietly opened the door, slipped out of the vehicle, and mixed with the crowd of passers-by. A woman whom he knew in Livev hid him for twenty months of the occupation. Laben Acter, who alone escaped from the terrible "other world" of Belzets, willingly teld us many details about the hell of delects. He later published his uccoirs in coloud.

eder offered the following information:

I spont a total of four conths in the casp. During that time over thirty very large and deep graves were dug, filled with corpses, and covered in my presence. With the sid of simple critimetic I should say that furing that time the demans exterminated and buried many handreds of thousands of people. Then the ded Amy wout over to the offensive and began to advance on Eclacts, the fascists began has filly to eremate the corpses. Those exterminated in delects came not only from Johnd and the western Ukraine, but also from Lelgium, Helland, Czechoslovakia, and France.

Judging from what I saw, I should say I of Trou the Joun-debian of the easy until its discolution approximately The II. the people were extended in elects.

te now have every remean to add to the list of countries whose people variable forever in the hell of the "stored fires of calzets.". We can include still another state — Italy. Sandrods of chrorial young Italians from the shores of the beautiful Hediterranean were brought to delects only so that the bullets of litterite submachine paus, "Cyclone" gas, and — shagey tongues of five could turn their dark bodies into light silvery ashes.

Figure Laigi Heda, deputy of the Italian publicment and Italian representative in the United Nations, who decied openly all the facts I produced on the extermination of Italians in Livov, is a fervent Catalian. The majority of fulgi Heda's country on who were shot by the Nitlerines and sufficient by German "Cyclone" gas were also men of the Louan Catholic faith. They were surfaced in Livov, the only city in the world where the Valican had three metropolitan sees: Joman Catholic, immedian Catholic, and Dyzantine (Greek) Catholic. Bishop Pazyak and Hetropolitan Jount Ladrew Diephitskiy were in the closest contact with the Valican throughout the occupation.

Through its extensive hierarchy, through a whole army of folish and other priests and prelates, through the many Catholic menasteries and connectic orders still existing that time in the weakern Uhraine, the preminent representatives of the Vatican in byway and surrounding area were extremely well informed about all aspects of the bloody occupation regime. One it he imagined that such a scandalous thing as the disappearance of several trousand Italian Catholics in "Pyaskovnya" ravine, beyond Lychakov, in the fluxes of the Belazis fires, and behind the barbed wireof ribulichi could be kept secret from the Vatican and its local caria?

of course not! They knew it and kept silent! Seither then nor today have they make a single partest or conducted a single funeral service in me ory of their brothers and coreligionists, who vanished in accordance with hitler's will. Not a word on that trajedy has been heard from the sensit of Lateran Hill.

of the medieval Inquisition, in which biordens Trans and thousands of his contemporaries perished, differs essentially from the eternal fires of helzets or from the fires which burned on the bottom of L'vov's "Lyashernya"? During the seandalous trial of hugo Mentana [Montan?] in Italy it was revealed that housan Catholic Dishop Chudal his in the Polish church of Santa Maria dell' Anita the notorious when soldmann, Gestapesan and organizer of the SJ division Italy, and friend of hengson Melf debrann, the extensinator of millions of Jews.

Under that courch's reof Madel also hid mother handit of equal rank — 33 Standartenführer Otto Machter, the jovernor of the district of "Galicia." This blond beast was jovernor of L'vov and the surrounding area when thousands of Italian Catholics were being

burned in the fires of the fescist Inquisition! And not only Italians! Metropolitan indrew Chaptitskiy, wishop Dazyak, and other representatives of the Vation in Livov were well informed about this. They know and received into their cathedral chapters Otto Wichter, who participated in these surders. Sheptitskiy, Mishop Vasil' Laba, and other Vation representatives helped him to organize the SD divion Galichina (Jalicia) and personally blessed the nationalist Mags of this band of Ukrainian apostates, who were recruited to conquer Moscow for Adolf Hitler's empire.

That is the real meaning of the famous Christian commandment

"Those shalt not kill!" What a dis race!

The same Sheptitskiy who so Juriously sent "memorandums" to the Soviet government in protest against the introduction of Pioneer units in the schools kept silent as soon as the occupation began and the Mitterites started to marder hundreds of thousands of coole, including many Jatholies. This "prince of the church" did not raise his voice in protest against the marder of Latin-rite Christians like the Italians. To market of protest was heard from the Catholic clergy.

the occupation, not only the ecumendment "Thou shalf not kill!" but many other occlesiastical canons - the foundation stones of all faith

in a mythical Tod - burst like soup buldles!

archbishop Joseph Slippy succeeded Court Tahrew Cheptitskiy on the throne of the Dyzantine Catholic Church after the latter's death in the autumn of 1044. This portly habitud of numerous fascist banquets knew exactly what was going on in the city under the German occupation. Not a single more or less significant fact of annicipal life cluded the residents of St. George's (Tur) Palace. They "spied with a Justical eye" on everything that happened in their diocese.

the Investigation of deram-'ascist strecities in L'vov Oblast' was drawn up, those of as who participated in the project visited, among other priests, including Jose in Sliggy in his palace on St. learge's Hill. Arogant and groud, with a diamond-studded ikon on his breast, Joseph Sliggy received us in his study. Sight away he amounced that he did not "understand instian." In experienced Joseph Slowledge of many imposes the languages who had been reading the Soviet Assian press since the 1920's, he canted to expensive his extraterritoriality and invetorate hatred of loscow.

I had to assume the duties of an interpreter. In Ukrainian I explained to his Excellency the purpose of our visit and requested him to countersign the indictment confinsing the fascist atrocities in. Elvov and the mass extensination of Italians and Frenchmen, wen of the Catholic faith, who were brought there.

"not I do not know anything about these atrocities!" enswered

Slippy with an ironical scile.

se had expected mything, but such frank cynicism on the part of the new proprietor of Svatoyurskaya (St. Secree's) Hill surprised us. Asteristed, I reglied: "four excellency, theusands of Livevines, including believers, have told as about the mass alrectities of the littlerites." Le found in Livev a few Frenchmen who escaped from Jerman concentration cause and the Livev citadel. It of them are Catholics. They too confirm with documentary evidence how the Testape dealt with the peaceful population. The corpses of surdered copic were created in Pyashovaya ravine, beyond Lychakov. Then the wind blow from there, the saell of burnt flesh was perceptible everywhere in the city and here at Lt. Seorge's Calace. "

"Look, young can," stroking his broad and thick beard with dignity interrupted se Slipyy, "even in summer it is my habit to beep the windows in the charter closed."

Rot only Laigi Hola has tried to dony by accusations on this subject in the Literary fazette in June 1959 and there in Lousand! Shaya travda. The editors of the popular Italian not wine Loca decided to check the veracity of the facts presented in my article "Thy hid They Not leturn?" They even sent special correspondents to boland personally to talk to the many witnesses whose testimony lay at the base of our assertions. They also found a very important witness, Wina Fetrushkovna, who almost had escaped our notice. The Tarsaw newspaper Trybuna Judu published a summary of their findings concerning the "vanished garrison" in its 18 June 1960 issue:

Wa-MIND IS Contain. Some (Palish Press Agency). extensive article of two correspondents of the largest Italian weekly book has aroused wholly understandable excitement in Italian public opinion. The article was sent from Warsaw and completely confines on the basis of witnesses! testi any the parder of 2,000 Italian soldiers of the Livov garrison by the litterites in the autumn of 1942. Then the story of this crise was published in the Moscow literary Cazotte, Italian Cimister of Car Indrectti in general form rejected this information. Doca correspondents have found witnesses of the crime in Poland and new present the detailed testionny of these witnesses. Mitnesses D. Strapchevskiy, A. Moval'clak, A. Mants, N. Cetrushkovna, and sugineer VI. Solek describe the warder of 2,000 Italians by the IS. Their holies were cremated and the ashes scattered in a forest near the city. To conceal all traces of their crime, the Mitherites transplanted trees on the graves. The victims, including five generals and forty-five officers, refused to swear an eath of loyalty to their Bitlerite "ally" after Mussolini's Call in the. summer of 1943.

That remains to be done for Dignori Meda and Indrectti, who are very close to the Vatican? Termaps we, in turn, should deny test Italians were surdered in Pibulichi and in the "eternal fires of Belzets"? These facts are obviously very disadvantageous to Catholic Hound because spiritual colleagues in Italy. These are the same

men who drove Italy into NATO and are reviving fascism. They would like to crase all traces of the blood shed by Italians in L'vov from the memory of the peoples.

But the ashes of the Italians strewn on the hills of L'vov, near the San liver, and in the sand dames under the pines of Belzets contimue to touch our hearts. New witnesses of that horrible trime arouse hatred for all those who once dragged Italy into a senseless war and now try to lead her again onto the same old bloody path.

[Caption of photograph on page 65:]

This is "Fynskovnya" — the sandy ravine on the eastern edge of L'vov. Here the Hitlerites surdered about 200,000 peaceful people. Photo by Ye. Chayka.

[Caption of photograph on page 66:]

Hierarchs of the Byzantine Catholic Church — agents of the Vatican in the western Ukraine: Becrepit, paralyzed hetropolitan Count Andrew Sheptitskiy (in his youth an officer of the Austro-Hungarian Army and German spy with the nickname "Dragoon"), and Archbishop Slippy, his coadjutor.

PELLGION AND SOCIETY

Fellowing is the translation of an article satitled "Religiya i obshakestve" (English version above) by B. Grigor'yan in Nanka i Religiya (Science and Religion), No. 11, Moscow, November 1960, pages 78-82.

Beligious beliefs and dectrines have always been closely connected with the process of social evolution and have always reflected
the economic and political interests of social groups and classes.
For many centuries the stormy course of history was concealed under
this peculiar spiritual covering. At certain Stages of social evolution religion exerted a great influence on the economic, political,
and cultural life of individual states and nations. At times it even
recessed that religion was the fundamental source of all social life,
of all social creation and destruction; religion seemed to be the
very foundation on which all historical movement proceeded.

Actually, however, religions ideology has always been a consequence of definite cocic-economic processes and a product of the objective course of social evolution. Despite its apparent independence, religion, like every other ideology, really does not have an independent history or evolution that proceeds adsording to its own less. The history of ideas, wrote Marx and Engels, proves that spiritual production is transformed concurrently with material production, and that man's thought changes as changes econr in material production and social relationships. Heligion is an historically originated and historically transient form of social consciousness. Heligion, says Marx, expresses the aspiration of the oppressed people for illusory happiness. Therefore, religion as a hope for illusory happiness disappears as soon as the popular masses find real happiness.

The ideologists of the modern bourgeoisis and exploiting classes will never accept this interpretation of religion. Profoundly shaken by the great social changes that have taken place in the world and confronted by the growing power of the revolutionary forces of world socialize, the bourgeoisis uses every means at its disposal to delay the destruction of capitalist society and to hinder the further spread

of Communist ideas. The bourgeoisis uses religion to belster its domination; it considers religion an ideology that can reconcile the exploited workers with exploitation and every other kind of secial injustice. Bourgeois idelogists speak about the eternity and indestructibility of the religious experience, and claim that human society and social progress are whelly inconceivable without religion. In their attempts to perpetuate the capitalist system by presenting it as the only reasonable and God-given society, they cling to religion and mysticism as a means of justifying all the abominations of capitalism and all the crimes of the imperialist bourgeoists before the national and the working macaes.

At the beginning of this century the eminent French sociologist Finile Darkheim regarded religion as the great social force that gave birth to "almost all social institutions." Beligion, in Darkheim's opinion, is the most striking form of cellective life; it is, as it were, a soudensation of all collective life. He wrote: "If religion has brought forth everything substantial in society, this happened because the idea of society is the idea of religion."

Many contemporary sociologists and philosophers adhere to this view of religion. The contemporary German accidences G. Mensching believes that social life naturally exarts a certain influence on the evolution of religious ideas, but that religion influences society to a greater extent. French bourgeois sociologist Gabriel de Bra speaks of religion as a powerful formative social force. In his opinion, it is religion that unites the human masses, unites the individual with society, and promotes the moral and cultural development of society. Boussa, the Datch professor of sociology, and Jochim Wach, the eminent German sociologist of religion, and others share these views.

Hany studies by contemporary bourgoods bistorians of religion. sociologists, and philosophers contain the fellowing assertions: religion is the basis of the state; religion is the most important condition for social unification; religion leads to the blossoming of social life. They also claim that the decline of religious conscious. note has a negative effect on the state of society. These studies distort history. Historical facts and their scientific analysis lead to different conclusions. Let us turn our attention, for example, to developed countries of the encient world like Greece and Home. The demostic presperity of the ancient Greek state coincided with the era of Pericion; the greatest external prosperity coincided with the era of Merender the Great. Both these periods commend when philosophy. art, and rhetoric had successfully supplement religion. To an even greater extent this is true of home, where the germine religion of the educated Bomans was the philosophy of Epicurus, the Strice, and the skeptics.

The history of the ancient world, better than that of any other epoch, illustrates the proposition that the development and modification of the religious concepts of the various peoples were determined primarily by their respective economic, social, and political conditions. The evolution of Reman coclety, the unification of the Italic class and triber and their fusion into a single state led to the in-

clusion of regional gods in the general pentheon. The whited family of gods of the Remen state was formed in this menner.

The priority of social relationships over religious ideas can be observed in subsequent historical evolution, although a superficial view of history could leave the impression that religion played the most important role in social change.

The disintegration of the primitive-communal system of the nemad and settled tribes of Arabia began in the seventh century. Slavery appeared and a property-owning elite emerged. The ensuing social condradictions led finally to the union of the Arab tribes into a single feudal state. The socio-economic necessity of this unification could be comprehended only in religious or ideological terms in the medieval period. In this case the socio-economic necessity was interpreted as the need to spread the "trae" Mohammedan faith in opposition to all other faiths.

From the eleventh to the fourteenth centuries the desire of the Christian monarchs and princes of southwestern Europe to seize the rich areas of the East took the form of the Crusades, which supposedly had as their sole goal the liberation of the "Holy Sepulcher" from Saracon rule.

All these examples show that the development of religion was always subsequent to social change, and that the real content and character of social change determined the form and content of religion. But religion did not simply follow history. Religious doctrine sanctified and strengthened already-formed social relationships and justified the interests of the dominant exploiting classes. Sometimes it served as the ideological form in which the changes and contradictions of social life were best expressed.

In the early Middle Ages the church spiritually dominated Western Enrope. Here religious dogmas were the basis of all thought. Philosophy, natural science, and other sciences were forced to accord with the teaching of the catholic church. Medieval philosophy was by its very nature theological; Christianity was the unifying force of European civilization. But even the "ideal Christian unity" of medieval Europe had solid material foundations. The hierarchical organization of the catholic church them owned approximately a third of all the land and was an energous economic force in feudal society. Thus, despite the dominant position of Christian ideology in the Middle Ages, religion developed in accordance with changes in feudalism; the ruling church reproduced and copied in a peculiar form the feedal social structure.

When fendal society began to decline, the old religious view of the world ceased to satisfy the rising bourgeoisie. Despite the new social conditions, however, the new class did not immediately break with the religious ideology. From the thirteenth to the seventeenth conturies the struggle of the bourgeoisie, urban populace, and peasantry against feudalish was still waged under the banner of religion. This continued until the bourgeoisie worked out its own political

and legal philosophy. The economic and social relationships which had previously assumed a religious form were new depicted as political and legal relationships. In the new conditions of capitalist society the social and historical bases of all ideological forms, and especially of religious ideology, were revealed even more clearly.

Let us illustrate this proposition by investigating the present religious life of capitalist countries. After World War II relations between the leadership of the Catholic and Protestant Churches became more cordial. The Vatican even tried to improve relations with the clargy of non-Christian religions like Islam and Buddhism. The churches which had eace fought each other were suddenly able to reach a certain mutual understanding; they agreed on unity of action in some questions.

What is the explanation of this? Is it possible that the religious principles of these charches have been drastically eltered or that all disputed degratic questions have been resolved? Of course not. In the centuries that have passed since the Crusades and St. Bartholomew's Night, the fundamental degras of Christianity, Islam, and other religious have remained virtually unchanged. But since that time great social changes have taken place in the world. These social changes have determined the new tendencies in the activity of the Catholic, Protestant, and other clarates. New, as never before, the socio-economic and political bases of all religious action and fideolegy have became obvious. The social basis of the spiritual life of society remains hidden from the gaze of burgaous sociologists and philosophers, who concentrate their attention mainly on the ideology and ritual of religion.

Marxise for the first time directed attention to the scoic-econessic processes of social life as the real basis upon which the whole
ideological superstructure rose; the ideological superstructure, in
turn, determines the extire epititual life of society. Marxist social
teaching designates religion as a social phenomenent it points out
that definite groups of people, bound by the mahrockable threads of
economic, social, and political relations, are the bearers of religious
ideology. The formation of bases cellectives historically proceeds
the religious tie, and in all stages of historical development social
relationships determine the actions of non.

Religion was a most convenient ideological form for the spiritual custowerest of the masses, who interpreted and understood their environmental phenomena in religious terms. Even when the popular masses rose against their oppressors, they elethed their social demands in the form of religious elegans. This was the case with the Anahaptists ("re-baptisers" -- supporters of a popular sect which originated in Germany in the sixteenth century before the Persent War of 1528). Casch Inastes (followers of Jan Ris, who demanded ecclesiastical reform and defended Casch maximal independence at the beginning of the fifteenth century), English Independents (a political party during the English Revolution of the seventeenth century which opposed English

absolution and the Established Church with religious alegans), and Hassian sectarisms (heretical strength and antitrinitarisms). In all these sevements, which took the ferm of a struggle for a "new, truer religion," the masses essentially were trying to attain peaceful goals—land, instanments of production, equal distribution of goods, etc. Only the study of the economic, political, and scoial foundations of religious life permits a correct understanding of the real causes of development and change in religious ideology and its social content in cartain historical periods.

Though bourgeois sociologists generally do not deny the infleence of social life on religion, they always attempt to explasize the absolute independence of religion from social conditions. They accuse Marxiss of a one-sided explanation of the forms of social conscious-

ness. including religion.

Marxism has never denied the relative independence of the role of ideologies in social evolution. Engels wrote that the fundamental proposition of the materialistic interpretation of history—that the production and reproduction of real life is the ultimate determinant in the historical process—does not mean that the economic factor is the sole determinant. Various experstructural phenomena—political, juridical, and philosophical theories and religious views—also influence historical development. "The interaction of all factors is present here; inevitable economic change eventually clears a path for itself through the infinite number of possibilities ..." (K. Marx and F. Engels, O religii (On Religion), Moscow, 1955, page 211.) The founders of Marxism defined the nature of the religious form of social consciousness.

Religion contains a so-called prehistorical element, which was subsequently adopted by the historical period. The prehistorical element includes all kinds of absurdation created by the fantasy of the savage: false concepts of nature, the nature of man, spirite, and supernatural forces. All these concepts have only a negative concepts basis.

The lew economic development of the prehistoric period entailed, and semetimes even conditioned and caused, false concepts of nature. Though economic necessity was always and became more and more the mainspring of the progressive understanding of nature, it would be padentry to seek examonic explanations for all this primitive nonsonse.

(Thid., page 216.)

Those propositions explain the real sense of the Marxist interpretation of religion and show the complete bankruptcy of the bourgeois criticism of Marxism. Historical materialism is not a "one-sided economic theory," as bourgeois sociologists assert, but a genuinely scientific reciological system desending a balanced analysis of all social phenomena.

In the sentures that have passed since the Middle Ages, when religion dominated the spiritual life of seciety, humanity has developed

great productive forces. In our day science and technology have made truly phantastic achievements. Her has created rockets that can overcome the force of earthly gravity and penetrate the limitless expanses of the cases. The sphere of human action now transcends the limits of our planet. The successes of actvoxesy, physics, chemistry, biology, and scientific psychology are shattering fundamental religious dogmas.

As a result of great socio-economic and caliural transformations, the social roots of religion have been undermined in the socialist countries; the class base on which the church stood has been sumi-hilated. The socialization of the basic wrant of production and the climination of all kinds of social oppression have reduced religion in the socialist countries to a more remark of former social relationships. This survival will gradually be evercome in the ideological battle between the scientific and religious philosophies and by the formation of a new kind of human being — the macher of commission society.

In the Seviet Union the imilding of commutes has began. The Seviet people are successfully creating their real happiness here on earth, and therefore no larger need the illusory hope of fictitions heavenly sulvation. The great sevences of commutes are driving the survivals of religious beliefs and projudiess out of life.

Religious and ecclesisation influence has also been undermined in capitalist countries. But religion still remains a serious and extremely influential factor of eccial life there. The obseroh in capital list countries is not only a religious center, but a powerful organization in possession of commence riches and considerable political power. Many charaksen are big stockholders in banks and other businesses. The Vatican's foreign-correctly and gold reserves in 1852 emented to eleven billion dellers — more than the gold reserves of Italy, France, and England combined. The Vatican is closely associated with the financial and political circles of many countries. The ruling clorical parties of Italy, Vest Germany, France, Belgium, Helland, and other countries are under its influence.

The charch in capitalist countries is an integral part of the social structure. Religion in these countries is carrying out its lest historical mission — it defends and protects the fundations of capitalist society, and in so doing defends and protects the last social basis of its era existence. It is not surprising, therefore, that the Catholic Church, fellowing reactionary imperialist circles, opposes posseful occulatence, directly or indirectly supports the arms race and proparations for stonic war. The Vationa is the ally and bulwark of the fuscist regimes in Spain and Pertugal; using the countriestly increasing supports of the monopolistic oligarchy of the United States, it actively appoars the national-liberation movement in the nations of Latin America and other areas.

The progress of history council be stopped by and or preaching. Capitalles is living out its last days, it is stoodily moving toward

its devafull. When it falls, social inequality, the exploitation of man by man, and all other social deficiencies will forever disappear from buman life. Beligion will disappear with them. The influence of religion is steadily decreasing in the most religious capitalist countries. Even bourgasis social-gists cannot dany that the "golden age" of religious domination of the minds and hearts of men has faded into the distant past. French elevical Daniel Ropa [transliterated from Equation] believes that at the present time there are

... enormous areas of the earth where man lives as if God really had died; even in countries where atheirs has not yet been raised to the states of official dogma whole social classes live as though the problem of God did not exist.

The atheirs engendered by the Bensissance has developed almost uninterruptedly. Rationalism, the philosophy of the eighteenth century, and, finally, the great wave of technological inventions in the himsteenth century gave it atrength. To the humanism and naturalism of the age of Rabelais were added the laidter and maticlericalism of Veltairs and the encyclopedists, the crudition of the age of technology (the nineteenth century), and the dispectical materialism of Marx. Today atheism is a powerful idea among the masses ... it conditions political and notial activity; emong the intelligent(siz it is a concious atruggle against God, against all that can be above man. (Questions of the History of Religion and Atheism, vol. 7, Moscow: USSR Academy of Sciences, 1950, pages 53-54.)

The people of modern developed capitalist constrict have created entrants productive forces which affine sum's vastly increased power over nature. In capitalist society, however, those productive forces seem to be apparate from individual new and to dominate them; they seem not to depend on homes volition and behavior, but, on the

contrary, to control volition and behavior.

Capitalist production relationships impose the spiritual liberation of the verters. The productive forces of the present capitalist world operate not as the forces of the individual producers, but as the forces of private property. They seem to be the forces of individual mean only insofar as the latter are private property-owners. Therefore, if all mean are to attain freedom of independent caltural activity corresponding to the level of development of material production, society must require the existing aggregate of productive forces. After exteining possession of all means of production and planning their use on a social basis, exclosy will liberate itself and all its members from an enclaved state. The people, to use Eugel's expression, will become the real owner of all social forces. The last external force operating apart from mean will disappear, seel the religious reflexion of that force will disappear for the simple reason that there will be nothing left to reflect.